

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE			
00/001 000	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,293 07/09/2001	Michael M. O'Toole	17586A USA	2795
7590 05/18/2004 The Whitaker Corporation		EXAMINER	
Suite 450	18 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DOAN, JENNIFER	
4550 New Linden Hill Road Wilmington, DE 19808		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2874	
*		DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004	\ \ \ •

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· ·	
		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/901,293	O'TOOLE ET AL.	
		Examin r	Art Unit	
		Jennifer Doan	2874	
P riod f				
- External control con	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the provision of the provis	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of the will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ebruary 2004	•	
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)	Claim(s) 1-35 and 37-45 is/are pending in the	annliantion .		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray		·	
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 35</u> is/are allowed.	on nom consideration,		
	Claim(s) 21-34,37-39 and 42-45 is/are rejected			
	Claim(s) 40 and 41 is/are objected to.	•		
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers	•		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•	•	
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2001</u> is/are: a)		sted to by the Everiner	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ Áll b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
۵)ر	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.	
	Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ity documents have been	received in this Notional Stage	
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a))	rreceived in this National Stage	
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of		received.	
		•		
Attachm nt	• •	· .		
)   Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
i) 🔀 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date <u>0204</u> .	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2874

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants' communication filed on February 26, 2004 has been carefully studied by the Examiner. The arguments advanced therein, considered together with the amendment made to the claims, are persuasive. In view of further search, however, and the consequent discovery of a relevant prior art document in the Information Disclosure citation, a new rejection is set forth below. This action is **not** made final.

## Information Disclosure Statement

1. The prior art documents submitted by applicant in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on 02/26/2004, have all been considered and made of record (note the attached copy of form PTO-1449).

### Specification

2. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,293 Page 3

Art Unit: 2874

4. Claims 37-39 and 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DeAndrea et al. (U.S. Patent 5,708,743).

Regarding claims 37-39 and 42-45, DeAndrea et al. disclose, Figures 3-5, a connector interface adapted to interconnect with a multi-fiber assembly having an x,y array of fibers (203); a plurality of OE devices (30) for converting between optical and electrical signals; an optical block comprising a unitary structure of an optically-clear moldable material comprising at least the following features: a plurality of first lenses adapted for interfacing with a multi-fiber array of said connector assembly, each first lens corresponding to a fiber in said multi-fiber array; a plurality of second lenses adapted to cooperate optically with said OE devices, wherein each second lens corresponds to a first lens and is not coaxial with said first lens; one or more reflective surfaces; wherein said first lenses, said seconds lens and said reflective surfaces are configured to provide a plurality of optical paths in said optical block, each optical path comprising a first section between a particular first lens and a reflective surface and a second section between a corresponding second lens and said reflective surface, wherein said first sections are parallel (column 6, lines 37-67).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered-therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 21-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeAndrea et al. (as cited above).

Regarding claim 21, DeAndrea at al. disclose, Figures 3-5, a unitary structure of an optical-clear moldable material comprising at least the following features (column 12, lines 57-58); a plurality of first lenses adapted for interfacing with a multi-fiber array of a connector assembly, each first lens corresponding to a fiber in said multi-fiber array as shown is Figures 3-5.

DeAndrea et al. do not disclose a plurality of receptacles for receiving the OEDs.

However, the receptacles are considered to be obvious, since it is commonly used in an optical communication system to accommodate an optical device. Such an element would advantageously provide a good protection for the optical device. Therefore, it

Application/Control Number: 09/901,293

Art Unit: 2874

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the DeAndrea's device with the receptacles. Doing so would obtain a good protection for the optical device.

Regarding claims 22-33, DeAndrea et al. disclose, Figures 2-3 and 6, the wherein said alignment member provides for x,y alignment; the alignment member is a structure which is adapted to cooperate with a corresponding structure on a mating cable assembly; the alignment structure is one of a pin or a pin receiving cavity adapted to receive said pin, and wherein said cooperating structure is the other of said pin or said pin receiving cavity; the first lens are located on an interface surface and said alignment member comprises pins extending from said interface surface, said pins being configured on said interface surface to cooperate with receiving pin cavities on said fiber assembly.

Regarding claims 34, DeAndrea et al. disclose wherein said OED are standardized to a particular lead frame configuration, said lead frame configuration being adapted to support lasers, LEDS, photodiodes or detectors (column 5, lines 20-30).

DeAndrea et al. disclose all the limitations of the claimed invention except for the receptacles. However, the receptacles is well known in the art use for helping in an optical connection and alignment. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the ad at the time the invention was made to modify the Munoz-Bustamante's device with a receptacle. Doing so would supposedly build up a strong connection.

Art Unit: 2874

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 40 and 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-20 and 35 are allowed:

See the reason for allowance of the claims in the previous office action.

#### Conclusion

- 9. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-35 and 37-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. This action is **not** made final.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 6:00 am to 3:30 pm, second Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JD

May 14, 2004

PHAN T. H. PALMER
PRIMARY EXAMINER